

# Designing for Tussle: Case studies in control over control

Bob Briscoe<[bob.briscoe@bt.com](mailto:bob.briscoe@bt.com)>  
BT Exact Research,  
B54/130, Adastral Park, Martlesham Heath, Ipswich, IP5 3RE, UK

18 Mar 2004

## Abstract

In 2002 ‘Design for Tussle’ [1] was articulated as a new design principle for the Internet. It was the result of a DARPA funded project to re-think the Internet architecture, which was only being applied selectively by the industry, leading to islands of incompatibility and worse, complete stasis. The problem lay in the end to end design principle [2], which was formulated to foster innovation by ruthlessly removing all but rudimentary functions from infrastructure. Complete infrastructure transparency allowed innovations in its use, unimpeded by feature interactions. However, this gave network operators no incentive to invest in infrastructure. They had no hooks to extract any more than commodity revenues from their customers. In turn, innovative applications had no infrastructure growth to exploit. Design for Tussle was articulated as a route out of this impasse. The architecture was being ignored because it mandated up front that one industry should win and another should lose. A more level playing field was required — even better, a playing field with adjustable tilt.

But knowing what *not* to do was one thing. Positive guidance on best practice has been less evident. This talk aims to redress that balance. We draw from a selection of research, to give case studies of where Design for Tussle has best been applied. Most of the examples are from our own research projects, where Design for Tussle has been our deliberate aim, despite our strongest examples in the QoS area having been developed before the term ‘Design for Tussle’ was articulated. Other areas are covered in less depth, such as denial of service protection and access network routing. Essentially, rather than determining which party has control, the ability to control who is in control is added. To the question “So who controls the control over control?” the answer is whoever or whatever is in control in society. In other words, the market, the government, the industry regulator etc. Who predominates in the tussle for control will depend on the conditions in different cultures around the world, whether they are command economies, regulated market economies or completely *laissez faire*.

So, as designers of the future Internet, we must be sensitive to its role in shaping our future society, by allowing that society to shape the structure of the communications industry, but without allowing technical concerns such as scalability and evolvability to be compromised in the process.

## References

- [1] David Clark, Karen Sollins, John Wroclawski, and Robert Braden. Tussle in cyberspace: Defining tomorrow’s Internet. *Proc. ACM SIGCOMM’02, Computer Communication Review*, 32(4), August 2002.
- [2] Jerome H. Saltzer, David P. Reed, and David D. Clark. End-to-end arguments in system design. *ACM Transactions on Computer Systems*, 2(4):277–288, November 1984. An earlier version appeared in the Second International Conference on Distributed Computing Systems (April, 1981) pages 509–512.

## Author's biography



**Bob Briscoe** joined BT in 1980 and now directs the research programme of BT's Networks Research Centre. In the late-1980s he managed the transition to IP of many of BT's R&D networks and systems. In the mid-1990s he represented BT on the HTTP working group of the IETF and in the ANSA distributed systems research consortium, which led to the creation of the OMG and CORBA. In 2000 he initiated and was technical director of the Market Managed Multi-service Internet (M3I) consortium, a successful European collaborative project investigating the feasibility and user acceptability of controlling Internet quality on fast time-scales through pricing. His published research, standards contributions and patent filings are in the fields of loosely coupled distributed systems, scalable network charging and security solutions (esp. multicast), managing fixed and wireless network loading using pricing and on the structure of communications markets. He is also studying part-time for a PhD at University College London.

### Relevant citations

Bob Briscoe, Vasilios Darlagiannis, Oliver Heckman, Huw Oliver, Vasilios Siris, David Songhurst, and Burkhard Stiller. A market managed multi-service internet (M3I). *Computer Communications*, 26(4):404–414, February 2003.

Vasilios A. Siris, Bob Briscoe, and Dave Songhurst. Economic models for resource control in wireless networks. In *Proc. 13th International Symposium on Personal, Indoor and Mobile Radio Communications (PIMRC 2002)*, URL: [http://www.ics.forth.gr/~vsiris/papers/economic\\_models.html](http://www.ics.forth.gr/~vsiris/papers/economic_models.html), September 2002. IEEE.

Vasilios A. Siris, Bob Briscoe, and Dave Songhurst. Service differentiation in third generation mobile networks. In *International workshop on Quality of future Internet Services (QofIS'02)*, volume 2511, pages 169–178, URL: <http://www.ics.forth>.

[gr/netlab/wireless.html](http://gr/netlab/wireless.html), October 2002. COST263, Springer LNCS.

Bob Briscoe and Jon Crowcroft. An open ECN service in the IP layer. Internet draft, Internet Engineering Task Force, URL: <http://www.m3i.org/papers/draft-ietf-tsvwg-ecn-ip-00.txt>, February 2001.

Bob Briscoe (Ed.). M3I architecture. Deliverable 2, M3I Eu Vth Framework Project IST-1999-11429, URL: <http://www.m3i.org/>, July 2000.

Bob Briscoe (Ed.). M3I pricing mechanism design; Price reaction. Deliverable 3 Pt II, M3I Eu Vth Framework Project IST-1999-11429, URL: <http://www.m3i.org/>, July 2000.

Mike Rizzo, Bob Briscoe, Jérôme Tassel, and Kostas Damianakis. A dynamic pricing framework to support a scalable, usage-based charging model for packet-switched networks. In *Proc. Int'l Wkshp on Active Networks (IWAN'99)*, volume 1653, URL: <http://www.btexact.com/projects/mware.htm>, February 1999. Springer LNCS.

Bob Briscoe, Mike Rizzo, Jérôme Tassel, and Konstantinos Damianakis. Lightweight, end to end, usage-based charging for packet networks. In *Proc. IEEE Openarch 2000*, pages 77–87, URL: <http://more.btexact.com/projects/mware.htm>, March 2000.

Bob Briscoe. The direction of value flow in multi-service connectionless networks. In *Proc. International Conference on Telecommunications and E-Commerce (ICTEC'99)*, URL: <http://www.btexact.com/projects/mware.htm>, October 1999.

## Document history

| Version | Date        | Author      | Details of change |
|---------|-------------|-------------|-------------------|
| A       | 18 Mar 2004 | Bob Briscoe | First draft       |